

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/990,023 11/21/2001		Donald J. Glaser	100.349US01	2756	
34206	7590 02/07/2006		EXAMINER		
FOGG AND ASSOCIATES, LLC P.O. BOX 581339			JONES, PRENELL P		
	.1S, MN 55458-1339		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•,		2668		
		DATE MAILED: 02/07/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)	·				
Office Action Summary		09/990,023	,	GLASER ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Prenell P. J	ones	2668					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 November 2005. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 									
Disposition of Claims									
 4) Claim(s) 1-70 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 11-70 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3-10 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 									
Application Page 1	apers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice of Dr 3) Information	eferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB /Mail Date	3/08)	Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Notice of Informal Pa Other:	e)-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/990,023

Art Unit: 2668

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-70 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 1. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murdock (US PAT 6,301,225) in view of Jones et al.

Regarding claims 1 and 2, Murdock discloses a single input multiplexer which output a plurality of separate output signals via plurality of corresponding outputs and their

Application/Control Number: 09/990,023

Art Unit: 2668

associated communication paths, such as amplifier channels, data is routed through amplifier channels of redundancy rings and are coupled by controllable output switches, each redundancy ring comprises of a plurality of paths that amplify signals derived from the outputs of the input multiplexer (col. 3, line 15-17, line 21-27, line 35-37). Murdock is silent on a remote circuit to selectively switch a redundant transmission path for a faulty transmission path at a subscriber. In a switching protection system, Jones discloses a TDM ring that interconnect multiple nodes whereby the nodes containing multiplexers are interconnected via a main transmission path and a standby/redundant transmission path wherein the architecture further includes switching/relay circuits for switch paths when notification is received from a remote alarm/remote circuit (Abstract, Figs. 2-4, col. 5, line 5-67, col. 6, line 44 thru col. 7, line 40, col. 8, line 38-67, col. 9, line 19-54). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to motivated to implement a remote circuit to selectively switch a redundant transmission path for a faulty transmission path at a subscriber/user as taught by Jones with the teachings of Murdock for the purpose of further managing a signal transmission system so as to provide coherent information between transmitter and receiver.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 11-70 are allowed over prior art.
- 2. Claims 3-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Although the prior art discloses communication systems that implement protection switching techniques and mechanism whereby redundant/backup paths are utilized in case of a fault occurring for the purpose of providing continuous transmission

in a communication system, they fail to teach or suggest transmission paths selected into groups comprising of multiple standard transmission paths and multiple redundant transmission paths wherein redundant paths are selectively coupled to replace faulty transmission paths, a remote circuit including a remote protection remote unit card having a protection remote unit removable coupled in the protection remote unit slot and selectively coupling a protection switching controller in a protection controller slot, and selectively coupling a protection remote unit in a protection remote slot.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prenell P. Jones whose telephone number is 571-272-3180. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Prenell P. Jones

January 26, 2006

CHI PHAM

ERVISORY PATENT EXAMIN'

THIS DOWN CONTER SO